



:

2005

.

·

·

·

·

.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

1	:
1	1.1
3	1.2
3	1.3
4	1.4
5	1.5
5	1.6
7	:
7	2.1
19	2.2
19	2.2.1
22	2.2.2
25	:
25	3.1
25	3.2
26	3.3
30	3.4
32	3.5
32	3.6
33	3.7
34	:
34	4.1

34	4.2
41	:
41	5.1
41	5.2
46	5.3
47	
47
52
53	

36 () .2

.

36 .3

.

37 () .4

.

37 .5

.

38 () .6

.

38 .7

39 () .8

39 (one way anova) .9

40 (one way anova) .10

53	.
	.
55	.
64	.
66	.
	.
68	.
71	.
72	.
82	.

.

2005

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

2005/2004

(100)

:

()

.

:

:

()

(0.80)

(0.83)

:

(0.05= α)

.1

.

(0.05= α)

.2

.

Abstract

The effect of text scene on the development of the overt learning skills and verbal expression for fifth grade students at the governmental schools at Ma'an education directorate

**Sami Fawaz Fahed Al-odat
Mutah University, 2005**

This study aims at exploring the effect of text scene on the development of overt learning skills and verbal expression of fifth grade student's in comparison with the traditional method by answering the following main question: Are there significant differences at the level of ($\alpha=0.05$) between the means of fifth

grade student's overt learning and verbal expression that are related to teaching method? and gender?

The study population consists of fifth grade student's at Ma'an province during the academic year 2004/2005.

The study sample consisted of (100) male and female students divided into four sections at two schools selected deliberately. The study sample was divided into two groups experimented and controlled. The experimental group, used text such as method of study and the control group used traditional method. (both groups were selected randomly).

The study used three tools: The first tool is the reforming of Five texts from the book "our language Arabic" for fifth grade so that they could be taught through the presentation method.

The second tool is preparing a test that aims at measuring overt learning skills, and the third tool is the preparing measuring verbal expression skills.

The verification of the validity of the educational material and the two tests done by presenting them to two professional judges. In order to verify the two test's reliability a calculation of cronbach alpha function was conducted, the reliability of overt learning skills test was (0,80), the reliability of verbal expression test was (0,83). After the administration of the study for (8) weeks and after the collection of its data I used the appropriate statistical tests and the results were:

- 1- A statistically significant difference at level ($\alpha=0.05$) between the means of students in the experimental group's achievement and the means of the students in the controlled group achievement on the post test in overt learning skills and verbal experimental group with respond to teaching method in favor of the experimental group, which used the text scene method.
- 2- There isn't a statistical significant difference at level ($\alpha=0.05$) between the means of the experimental group's achievement, and the means of the controlled group's achievement in overt learning skills and verbal expression that is related to gender.

1.1

(1991).

"

2003)"

(65).

(1997).

:

:

)

.(1991

.(1993)

)

(1990

.(1990)

(1985)

(1993)

.

.(1997)

(2000)

:

.(1987)

:

1.2

(2000)

()

:

($0.05=\alpha$)

()

:

1.3

:

.

.1

.

.2

.3

.

.4

.

.

:

1.4

:

($0.05=\alpha$)

:

($0.05=\alpha$)

.1

($0.05=\alpha$)

.2

($0.05=\alpha$)

.3

(0.05= α) .4

(0.05= α) .5

(0.05= α) .6

1.5 :

2005/2004

()

.
1.6 التعريفات الإجرائية:

:

:

.
:

.

:

:

الإطار النظري والدراسات السابقة

2.1

(1993).

(1992)

)

.(1999

:

(1995)

(2000)

.(2002)

:

:

:

:

()

:

:

.(2002)

:

.(2002)

،

(1999).

:

(2002)

(1995).

:

(1980)

(1999).

(2002)

:

.

:

(2003)

(2002)

:

(1993)

.

.1

.

.2

.3

.

.4

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

.8

.

.9

.

.10

.

.11

.

:

(2000)

:

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

()

.7

.

.8

.

.9

.

.

) .

.(1993

:

.(2003)

.(1998)

:﴿اقرأ باسم ربك الذي خلق﴾ (1/)

.(2000)

" :

(47 2002)"

" (1993)

(LESELY,2004 91) "

.(1990)

:

:

:

.(2002)

:

.(1990)

:

(2002)

(1986)

(1995)

:

:

:

:

(1990)

:

(2002)

.(2003)

:

:

(2002)

()

.(1987)

:

:

.

.(2002)

:

.

.(1990)

غ

: .

.(1990)

: .

.(2002)

: هـ.

.(1990)

:

(1997)

.(1999)

" :

(233 2002) "

”:

.(199 2003) ”

:

.(1991)

:

.(2003)

:

)

.(1999

:

:

:

:

:

.

:

.(2002)

:

.

.(1991

)

:

:

:

.

:

.(1999)

:

.

.

.(2000)

:

)

.(1999

2.2

2.2.1

:

.

(1990)

(92)

(ANOVA)

.

(2000)

(71)

:

.

(2000)

()

(52)

:

(28)

(24)

.

:

(1996)

(8)

(268)

:

.

(1996)

(50)

()

:

.

(1997)

(45)

(21)

(24)

:

.

(2000)

()

(136)

:

(68)

:

()

.

(2003)

(210)

(8)

(70)

(70)

(70)

:

()

.

:

. **2.2.2**

(Beales & Zemel,1990)

(85)

(CPI)

(Fernsler,2003)

: (30)
(15) (15)
(T)

(Miccoli,2003)

(Sun,2003)

()

()

(Alber&Foil,2003)

(2000)

(2000)

(1990)

.(2003)

(2000)

(1996)

()

.

.2005/ 2004

·
:

3.1

(67) 2005/2004
(10) (33) (24)
(932) (1694)
/ (762)
.2005/2004

:

3.2

:

(50) (100)
(25)

) ()
(50) ((25)
)

) (((3.3
:

:
:

: 2005/2004

.
:

.()

:

:

: .1

.

.2 :

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

/ () .6

:

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

: : .7

()

.

.

.

.

:

.

:

:

و

.

()

:

.() (50)

:

:

.

:

(20)

(0.80)

.

:

:

.

()

(50)

.

(10)

:

·
:

(20)

(0.83)

·

3.4 إجراءات تطبيق الدراسة:

:

.1

.()

.2

:

2005/2004

.
3

.
1

/

/

.
2

.
3

.
4

/

.
5

()

()

.

.6

.7

.

:

3.5

:

:

:

:

-

.

.2

.

.1

:

-

.

.2

.

.1

:

:

.

-

.

-

:

3.6

:

:

.

:
 ()
 .
 .
 ()
 : **3.7**

MANOVA(Hotling- T^2)
 (T-Test) ()
 .(one way anova)

4.1

(0.05 > α) (0.445)
(0.161)=Hotelling MANOVA

(0.440)
MANOV (0.05 > α)
(0.244) = Hotelling

.()

4.2

:

(0.05 > α) (0.852)
Hotelling (MANOVA)
(227.775)

(0.852)
(MANOVA) (0.05 > α)
(5.958) Hotelling

MANOVA(Hotelling -T²)
(T- test)

:

(0.05= α)

(1)

:

(1)

.

4.72

27.86

50

3.73

42.64

50

.

()

:

(2)

(2)

()

.

df

$$(0.05 > \alpha)$$

.

:

$$(0.05 = \alpha)$$

(3)

:

(3)

.

5.34

22.66

50

3.78

42.48

50

.

()

(4)

:

(4)

()

.

df

0.0005	98	18.195
--------	----	--------

$(0.05 > \alpha)$

.

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

(5)

.

(5)

.

7.62	35.06	50
9.45	35.44	50

(5)

.

()

(6)

:

(5)

()

.

df		
0.825	98	0.221
(0.05 < α)		
.		
:		
(0.05=α)		
(7)		
(7)	.	
.		
8.26	35.54	50
10.7	32.60	50
.		
()		
(8)	:	(8)
()		
.		
df		
0.127	98	1.538

$$(0.05 < \alpha)$$

.

:

$$(0.05 = \alpha)$$

(one way anova)

(9)

:

(9)

(one way anova)

.

.067	.42	12.290	1	12.290	
.566	.09	2.610	1	2.610	
.670	.182	5.290	1	5.290	*
		28.992	96	2783.200	
			99	2803.390	

.

:

$$(0.05 = \alpha)$$

(one way anova)

: (10)

(10)

(one way anova)

.

.057	.534	12.250	1	12.250	
.566	.105	2.410	1	2.410	
.884	.21	.490	1	.490	*
		22.898	96	2198.240	
			99	2813.390	

.

.

MANOVA(Hotelling -T²)

.

.()

: 5.2

:

(0.05= α)

MANOVA(Hotelling-T²)

(T-test) ()

(2000) :

(2000)

(2000)

(Fernsler,2003)

.(Sun,2003)

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

.8

.

:

($0.05=\alpha$)

MANOVA(Hotelling- T^2)

(T-test)

()

(1990)

(1996)

(1997)

(Alber&Foil,2003)

.(Miccoli,2003)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.8

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

MANOVA (Hotelling- T^2)

(T-test)

()

()

.(2003)

(1990)

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

MANOVA(Hotelling- T^2)

(T-test)

()

(1990)

•

•

(one way anova)

$$(\quad)$$

(1990)

•

• •

(one way anova)

()

(2000)

(2000)

(1990)

.

: **5.3**

:

() .1

.

.2

.

.3

.

أ. المراجع العربية

.(1985).

.
(1992)

.(1990).

.
(1997).

.(1997).

() .

.

.(1999).

() .

.

.() .

.

.(1991).

.

() . .()

.

.(1999).

() ." "

.

.(2002) .

.

.

()

.(2002) .

() .

.

.(1996) .

.(1990).

.(2000).

).

.(2000)

(

.(1986).

()

.(1993).

.(1991)

() .

() .

.(2000).

.(2000).

.(2003)

() .

(). (2002).

.

.(2002).

().

.

.(1980).

.

.(1993).

.

.

.(1990).

.

.

.(1993).

.

()

.

.(2000).

() .

.

.(2000).

.

.

.(1993).

·
:
.(1987).

·
· (1992)
·.(1995).
· () .
·.(1996).

·184-174 1996 12
·.(2004Lesley).

() .

·
·.(1993).

· () .
·).
·.(2003).

(

·
·.(1998).

·
·.(2003).

·
·.(1995).

()

.(2000).

()

.(2000).

.(2002)

().

.(1992)

33

()

.(2002)

() .

.(1987).

()

.(1991).

Alber, Sheila R & Foil, Carolyn R. (2003). **Drama Activities That Promote and Extend Your Students' Vocabulary Proficiency.** [Intervention in School & Clinic](#); Vol. 39

- Issue 1, p22, 8p, 1bw, <http://search.epnet.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=cookie,ip,url,uid&db=ab&an=10598983>, /5/10/2004
- Beales, Joseph & Zemel, Brook. (1990). **The Effects of High School Drama on Social Maturity**, The School Counselor, September 1990, VOL.38, p.g. 46-51.
- Fernsler, Hannah Marie. (2003). **A Comparison between the Test Scores of Third Grade Children Who Receive Drama in Place of Traditional Social Studies Instruction and Third Grade Children Who Receive Traditional Social Studies Instruction**, EBSCO, Social Science Education (035340)
- Miccoli, Laura. (2003). **English through Drama for Oral Skills Development**, ELT Journal v57 n2 p122-29 Apr2003, ERIC Number EJ664627.
- Sun, Ping-Yun. (2003). **Using Drama and Theatre To Promote Literacy Development: some Basic Classroom Applications** ERIC Digest, EBSCO, ERIC Number: ED477613.

()

:

11

11

•

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

11

11

•

•

11

11

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

·
:
:
:

:
·
:
:

·
(1951)
(1951)
:

·
:
:
:
(20)

·
:
:

·
!
:

"

"

:

.

.

:

:

.

:

:

.

"

"

:

.

:

.

"

"

.

:

"

"

:

:

.

:

.

:

:

"

"
.

.

:
:
:
:

.

"

"

"

.

" :
:
:
:

﴿وَجَعَلْنَا مِنَ الْمَاءِ كُلَّ شَيْءٍ حَيٍّ﴾

حَيٍّ

:
:

.

:
:
:
:

.

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

11

• •

!

|| .

• II

:

.

"

"

"

"

:

.

:

.

:

.

:

.

:

.

١٠

١١

١٢

١٣

١٤

١٥

١٦

١٧

١٨

١٩

٢٠

٢١

٢٢

٢٣

٢٤

٢٥

٢٦

٢٧

٢٨

٢٩

٣٠

٣١

٣٢

٣٣

٣٤

٣٥

٣٦

.

.

:

:

:

":

:

.

"

.

:

:

:

:

.

(1936)

:

:

:

:

(1967)

.

:

:

:

:

.

.

⋮

.

()

.

:

:

!

:

.

∴ .

(1995) (3) (36) (114) .

(50)

1.

(21) .

2.

(4) - -

.

.

() () .3

(8) . ()

.

(14) . .4

.

(3) .5

.

()

(10)..... :

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

(10). :

..... .1

..... .2

..... .3

..... .4

.5

(10) :

..... .1

..... .2

..... .3

..... .4

..... .5

(10) :

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

:

(10)





()

()

⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮
⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮

:
:

:
:

:
:

		.1
	()	.
	-	.2
	[]	:
	-	.
	-	.
	-	.()
	-	.
	-)
	-	(
	-	.
	-	.3
	-	.

--	--	--

:	:	:
:	:	:
:	:	:

	<p>1.</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4.</p>	<p>1.</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4.</p>

		*
	.	
		.5
		:
		-
.	.	-
	:	-
	-	.
		-
	.	-
	.	.
	.	.6
	-	:
	.	-
.		-

:	:	:
:	:	:

	1	
	<p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>.1</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>()</p> <p>].</p> <p>-</p> <p>[</p> <p>.2</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>.3</p> <p>-</p> <p>.</p> <p>:</p> <p>-</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>-</p> <p>.</p> <p>.()</p> <p>-</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>-</p> <p>.4</p> <p>.</p> <p>:</p> <p>/</p> <p>-</p> <p>:</p> <p>*</p> <p>.</p> <p>*</p>	

()

:

:

:

	l	
	<div>-1 :</div> <div> <div>.</div> <div>....</div> </div> <div>-2</div> <div>.</div> <div>(5)</div> <div>.</div>	<div>.1</div> <div>.</div> <div>.2</div> <div>.</div> <div>.3</div> <div>.</div>

<p> : </p>	<p> </p>	<p> .4 </p> <p> :</p> <p> </p>
<p> </p>	<p> :</p>	<p> .5</p> <p> :</p> <p> -</p> <p> -</p> <p> -</p> <p> -</p> <p> -</p> <p> .6</p>

--	--	--

:	:	:
:	:	:

	<p>-1 :</p> <p>-2</p> <p>(5)</p>	<p>.1</p> <p>.2</p> <p>.3</p> <p>.4</p>

.5

:

-

-

-

.6

:

		.
:		.4
	.	:
		-
	:	-
		-
		.
		-
	.	.
		-
	.	.
		.5
		:
		-
	.	-
		-
		.6
	.	

--	--	--

:

:
:

:
:

:
:

	-1 :	
	-2 .	
.	.	.1
	(5)	.
.	.	
.	.	.2
.	.	.
.	.	.3
:	.	.4
.	.	:
.	:	.

		.5
	.	:
	.	-
		-
		-
		.
		.6
	.	
.		

:

